



Assessment of human-red fox conflict in district Bagh, Azad Jammu and Kashmir

Maliha Azad¹, Mehwish Altaf^{1*}, Bushra Safeer¹, Irum Manzoor¹, and Sozina Yasrub¹

1. Department of Zoology, Women University of Azad Jammu and Kashmir, Bagh-Pakistan

*Corresponding Author: mehwishabbasi1994.ma@gmail.com

Peer Reviewed



Citation: Azad, M., M. Altaf, B. Safeer, I. Manzoor, S. Yasrub. 2018. Assessment of human-red fox conflict in district Bagh, Azad Jammu and Kashmir. Journal of Wildlife and Ecology. 2(3): 1-10.

Received: 11, 07, 2018 **Accepted**: 12, 08, 2018 **Published**: 01, 09, 2018

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Funding: Authors have no source of funding for this work.

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Red Fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) density is highly different in different region. In spite of the high value of understanding human and carnivore conflicts the excellence of data available is highly different, with most data focused in conflicts. Human-red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) conflict was documented in different regions, therefore this study was designed to collect detailed about human-red fox conflict in the selected sites of the district Bagh.

Materials and methods: Data collected through questionnaire (n=100) that is consist of respondent profile, loss of porcupine (%), sign of red fox, human sharing land with red fox, livestock protection and effective methods to control red fox.

Results: During the study noted that people of the area have one to five hectare (ha) land size, maximum respondents (81%) told that red fox is the major cause of livestock damage and the most of informants are feel very unhappy. It is noted that most of the respondents used poison, dog and fence to remove red fox. Informant said that if the level is reduced to tolerable level, then it would be favorable for their live stock.

Conclusion: It is concluded that human-red fox conflict is present; Red Fox was damaged to livestock and in response local people were kill red fox to protect the livestock.

Key words: Red fox, Hectare, Dog, Conflict, Livestock management

INTRODUCTION

Red Fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) density is highly different, one fox/1.17/km² in Wales, 40 km² in Scotland, 30 foxes/km² in some urban areas (Haris, 1977; Macdonald, 1982; Harris and Rayner, 1986), one family/km² in farmland, 5 families/km² in the suburbs (Macdonald, 1981), three foxes/km² in Switzerland (Meia, 1994), 0.17 foxes/km² in the Mongolia (Murdoch, 2008), 0.1 foxes/km² in Arctic tundra, 1 fox/km² in Canada (Voigt, 1987) and 0.37 families/km² in The Swiss mountains (Weber *et al.*, 1999).

The Red Fox is distributed in Yemen, Uzbekistan, United Kingdom, United Arab Emirates, Turkmenistan, Turkey, Tajikistan, Syrian, Switzerland, Sweden, Sudan, Spain, Serbia, Saudi Arabia, Russian Federation, Romania, Qatar, Portugal, Poland, Pakistan, Oman, Norway, Netherlands, Nepal, Myanmar, Morocco, Montenegro, Mongolia, Monaco, Malta, Lithuania, Liechtenstein, Libya, Lebanon, Latvia, Kyrgyzstan, Kuwait, Korea, Kazakhstan, Jordan, Japan, Italy, Iraq, Iran, India, Greenland, Greece, Gibraltar, Germany, Georgia, France, Finland, Egypt, Denmark, Cyprus, Croatia, Canada, Bulgaria, Bhutan, Belgium, Bangladesh, Azerbaijan, Austria, Andorra, Algeria, Albania and Afghanistan (Hoffmann and Sillero-Zubiri, 2016).

In spite of the high value of understanding human and carnivore conflicts the excellence of data available is highly different, with most data focused in conflicts. Most data available for conflicts involving canids i.e. the red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) (Stahl *et al.*, 2002; Moberly *et al.*, 2004). The present study has been designed to collect detailed about human-fox conflict in the selected sites of the district Bagh.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area: Bagh is located 80 Kilometers from Muzaffarabad, the capital city of Azad Jammu and Kashmir. It is 160 Kilometers from Islamabad, the capital of Pakistan. The all district Bagh

is a mountainous landscape and falls in the lesser Himalayas zone, sloping from the northeast to the south-west (Figure 1). The elevation is between 1500 to 2500 meters (m) above sea level. Bagh has the two main streams. However, many rivulets flow in Bagh district (Bibi *et al.*, 2013).



Methodology: In the study area asked the following questions from the respondents;

- Having agriculture?
- What is the size of agriculture?
- Respondent stay with livestock.
- Respondent's response about red fox damage.
- Sign of the red fox in the study area.
- Respondent's response about sharing of the land in the study area.
- Preventive measure to remove the red fox from the study area.
- Guarding animal used to save the livestock.

• Respondent's response about reduction of red fox number to tolerable range.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data collected from the Muslims male (56%), female (44%) respondents; they have different occupations i.e. teachers (11), businessman (26%), house wife (33%), Government employ (14%), army (2%), labor (14%) and belong to Mughal (63%), Batla (6%), Qureshi (3%), Raja (16%), Suduzai (2%), Syed (7%), Abbasi (2%) and Bhati (1%) cast, while age of the respondents as 25 to 40 (44%), 41 to 50 (18%), 50 to 60 (15%) and above 60 (23%) (Figure 2).



During the study noted that people of the area have 1 to 5 hectare (ha) land size, and maximum people have 1.0 to 2.0 ha land size as shown in Figure 3. During the study recorded that respondent's stay with livestock morning (27%), noon (3%), evening (16%), night (4%), full day (46%), and never (4%) (Figure 4). Maximum respondents (82%) told that red fox are the

major reason of livestock damage and some (12%) said that they are damaged to livestock in lower ratio (Figure 5). Most of the respondents (53%) said that red fox are visited in agriculture daily; while other said that they visited weekly (33%), monthly (12%) and yearly (2%) (Figure 6) and the respondents are feel very unhappy (89%) and unhappy (11%) (Figure 7). According to respondents different preventive measures are used to reduce the diversity of fox i.e. electric shocks, firing, poison (Figure 8). During the study recorded that local people used dog to protect livestock as; used in past (21%), and currently used (79%) (Figure 9). During the study recorded that respondents told that if predator numbers is reduced to tolerable level then effective (according to 29% respondents), do not know (according to 4%) and in effective (62%) (Figure 10). Safeer *et al.* (2018) noted that *Hystrix indica* is damaged to agriculture district Bagh, Pakistan. Chughtai *et al.* (2018) reported the human-wild boar conflict in district Bagh, Pakistan. In spite of the high value of understanding human and carnivore conflicts the excellence of data available is highly different, with most data focused in conflicts. Researcher noted that human and red fox conflict is present all regions (Stahl *et al.*, 2002; Moberly *et al.*, 2004).









Human- red fox conflict







Human- red fox conflict



Conclusion: It is concluded that human-red fox conflict is present; Red Fox was damaged to livestock and in response local people were kill red fox to protect the livestock.

Acknowledgements: Authors are highly thankful to local people for sharing data about humanwild boar conflict.

Availability of data: We have included all relevant data in the manuscript that were collected during the field survey.

Authors' contributions: Azad designed this study and also performed the research; Altaf and Altaf helped in data write up. Manzoor and Yasrub were analyzed article and approved as final manuscript.

REFERENCES

Bibi, S.S., R.A. Minhas, M.S. Awan, U. Ali, N.I. Dar. 2013. Study of Ethno-Carnivore relationship in Dhirkot, Azad Jammu and Kashmir (Pakistan). Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences. 23: 854-859.

- Chughtai, M.S., M. Altaf, I. Manzoor, B. Safeer, S. Yasrub. 2018. Assessment of human and wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) conflict from district Bagh, Azad Jammu and Kashmir, Pakistan. Journal of Wildlife and Ecology. 2: 10-21.
- Haris, S. 1977. Distribution, habitat utilization and age structure of a suburban fox (Vulpes vulpes) population. Mammal Review. 7: 25-38.
- Harris, S., J. Rayner. 1986. Urban fox (Vulpes vulpes) population estimates and habitat requirements in several British cities. The Journal of Animal Ecology. 575-591.
- Hoffmann, M., C. Sillero-Zubiri. 2016. Vulpes vulpes. IUCN.
- Macdonald, D. 1981. Resource dispersion and the social organization of the red fox (Vulpes vulpes). In: Worldwide Furbearer Conference Proceedings, 1981. p 918-949.
- Macdonald, D. 1982. The distribution and ecology of foxes Vulpes vulpes (L.) in urban areas. Urban ecology.
- Meia, J. 1994. Social organisation of a red fox (Vulpes vulpes) population in a mountainous habitat. PhD thesis]. Neuchâtel: University of Neuchâtel.
- Moberly, R., P. White, S. Harris. 2004. Mortality due to fox predation in free-range poultry flocks in Britain. Veterinary Record. 155: 48-52.
- Murdoch, J.D. 2008. Competition and niche separation between corsac and red foxes in Mongolia. University of Oxford.
- Safeer, B., Z. Rasheed, M. Altaf, I. Manzoor, S. Yasrub. 2018. Assessment of human-Indian crested porcupine (*Hystrix indica*) conflict in district Bagh, Azad Jammu and Kashmir. Journal of Wildlife and Ecology. 2: 1-12.
- Stahl, P., S. Ruette, L. Gros. 2002. Predation on free-ranging poultry by mammalian and avian predators: field loss estimates in a French rural area. Mammal Review. 32: 227-234.

- Voigt, D. 1987. Red fox. Wild furbearer management and conservation in North America. 379: 382.
- Weber, J.-M., J.-S. Meia, S. Meyer. 1999. Breeding success of the red fox Vulpes vulpes in relation to fluctuating prey in central Europe. Wildlife Biology. 5: 241-244.